## Rule 84. Forms.

The	following	forms	are	sufficient	under	these	rules	and	are	intended	to	indicate	the
simplicit	ty and brev	ity of s	tater	nent which	the ru	les cor	itempl	ate:					

(1) Complaint on a Promissory Note.
1. On or about,, defendant executed and delivered to plaintiff a
promissory note [in the following words and figures: (here set out the note verbatim)]; [a copy
of which is hereto annexed as Exhibit A]; [whereby defendant promised to pay to plaintiff or
order on , , the sum of dollars with interest thereon at
the rate of percent per annum].
2. Defendant owes to plaintiff the amount of said note and interest.
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant for the sum of
dollars, interest and costs.
(2) Complaint on Account.
Defendant owes plaintiff dollars according to the account hereto annexed as
Exhibit A.
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant for the sum of
dollars, interest and costs.
(3) Complaint for Negligence.
1. On,, at [name of place where accident occurred], defendant negligently drove a motor vehicle against plaintiff who was then crossing said street.
negligently drove a motor vehicle against plaintiff who was then crossing said street.
2. Defendant was negligent in that:
(a) Defendant drove at an excessive speed.
(b) Defendant drove through a red light.
(c) Defendant failed to yield the right-of-way to plaintiff in a marked crosswalk.
3. As a result plaintiff was thrown down and had his leg broken and was otherwise injured,
was prevented from transacting his business, suffered great pain of body and mind, and
incurred expenses for medical attention and hospitalization [in the sum of one thousand dollars]
(or) [in an amount not yet determined].
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant in the sum of dollars
and costs.
(4) Complaint for Negligence.
(Where Plaintiff Is Unable to Determine Definitely Whether
One or the Other of Two Persons Is Responsible or
Whether Both Are Responsible and Where His
Evidence May Justify a Finding of Willfulness
or of Recklessness or of Negligence.
1. On, at, defendant X or defendant Y, or both defendants X and
Y, willfully or recklessly or negligently drove or caused to be driven a motor vehicle against
plaintiff who was then crossing said street.
2. Defendant X or defendant Y, or both defendants X and Y were negligent in that:
(a) Either defendant or both defendants drove at an excessive speed.
(b) Either defendant or both defendants drove through a red light.
(c) Either defendant or both defendants failed to yield the right-of-way to plaintiff in a
marked crosswalk.
3. As a result plaintiff was thrown down and had his leg broken and was otherwise injured,

was prevented from transacting his business, suffered great pain of body and mind, and incurred expenses for medical attention and hospitalization [in the sum of one thousand dollars] (or) [in an amount not yet determined].

G.S. 1a-1. Rule 84 Page 1

Wherefore, plaintiff der dollars and		nt against X or against Y o	r against both in th	e sum of
		for Specific Performance.		
				amont in
1. On or about writing, a copy of which is	horoto annova	, piantini and detendant e Loc Exhibit A	mercu mio an agre	CIIICIII III
		f said agreement plaintiff		
purchase price and reques	-		idant refused to ac	cept the
tender and refused to make	•			
3. Plaintiff now offers	• •	-		
		t defendant be required s		
agreement, (2) damages in	the sum of	dollars, and (3) that	at if specific perform	mance is
not granted plaintiff have ju			dollars.	
	(6) Compl	aint in the Alternative.		
		I.		
Defendant owes plainti Exhibit A.	ff	_ dollars according to the	account hereto ani	nexed as
Exilion 71.	ΙΙ ΔΙΤΙ	ERNATIVE COUNT		
Plaintiff claims in the		t defendant owes plaintiff	. de	Mare for
goods sold and delivered	by plaintiff to	defendant between	uc	ond
goods sold and delivered	by plaintill to	defendant between		, and
,	(7) C	1 1 4 6 15 1		
1 0	(/) Co	mplaint for Fraud.	1 0 1	1
1. On,	, at	, defendant with	intent to defraud	plaintiff
represented to plannin that		<u> </u>		
	were known	by defendant to be and we	ere false. In truth, [	what the
facts actually were].				
3. Plaintiff believed a	nd relied upor	n the false representations	s, and thus was inc	duced to
·				_
		ff has been damaged [natu		
Wherefore, plaintiff de	mands judgme	nt against defendant for _	dollars	, interest
and costs.				
(	8) Complaint f	for Money Paid by Mistake	2.	
Defendant owes plainti	ff	dollars for money paid	by plaintiff to defe	ndant by
Defendant owes plainting mistake under the following	g circumstance	·S:	• •	•
1. On ,	, at	, pursuant to a contract	t , plain	tiff paid
1. On, defendant dollars				1
		Judgment on the Pleadings		
	• •	ered for plaintiff on the pl		und that
the undisputed facts appear	-			
	_	r More Definite Statement		avv.
	` /			et of the
		ing plaintiff to file a mor	e definite statemen	it of the
following matters: [set out]		4:CC1 1:4: F	3.5 1. 3.	,
	-	ntiff 's complaint is so [vag		-
to these matters that defend	lant cannot rea	sonably be required to frai	ne an answer hereto	o, in that
the complaint	<u> </u>			
		nswer to Complaint.		
		First Defense		
The complaint fails to s	tate a claim ag	ainst defendant upon whic	h relief can be gran	ted.
	Se	econd Defense		

G.S. 1a-1. Rule 84

If defendant is indebted to plaintiff as alleged in the complaint, he is indebted to plaintiff jointly with X. X is alive; is a resident of the State of North Carolina, and is subject to the jurisdiction of this court as to serve of process; and has not been made a party.

jurisdiction of this court as to serve of process; and has not been made a p Third Defense	arty.	
1. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs	and	of
the complaint.  2. Defendant alleges that he is without knowledge or information suf	ficient to for	m a baliaf
<u> </u>		ili a bellel
as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph of the c 3. Defendant denies each and every other allegation contained in the		
Fourth Defense	complaint.	
The right of action set forth in the complaint did not accrue within	1	vear next
before the commencement of this action.		)
Counterclaim		
[Here set forth any claim as a counterclaim in the manner in which a complaint.]Crossclaim Against Defendant Y	ı claim is ple	eaded in a
[Here set forth the claim constituting a crossclaim against defendar	nt Y in the 1	nanner in
which a claim is pleaded in a complaint.]		
Dated:		
Attorney for Defendan	.t	
(12) Motion to Bring in Third-Party Defendant.	1	1
Defendant moves for leave to make X a party to this action and that		rvea upon
him summons and third-party complaint as set forth in Exhibit A attached	L.	
(13) Third-Party Complaint.		
Plaintiff,		
V.		
,		
Defendant and Third-Party Complaint		
Third-Party Plaintiff,		
V.		
Third-Party Defendant.		
Civil Action No	mt a comit of	f which is
1. Plaintiff has filed against defendant a complai attached as "Exhibit C."	пі, а сору оі	which is
2. [Here state the grounds upon which the defendant and third-party	nlaintiff is	entitled to
recover from the third-party defendant all or part of what plaintiff n	•	
defendant and third-party plaintiff.]	nay recover	mom the
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against third-party defendant	fo	r all sums
that may be adjudged against defendant in favor of plaintiff.	10	2 0011 2 001112
(14) Complaint for Negligence Under Federal Employer's I	Liability	
Act.	J	
1. During all the times herein mentioned defendant owned and	operated in	interstate
commerce a railroad which passed through a tunnel located at	-	
No		
2. On or about June 1,, defendant was repairing and enlarg	ing the tunne	el in order

G.S. 1a-1. Rule 84

to protect interstate trains and passengers and freight from injury and in order to make the

tunnel more conveniently usable for interstate commerce.

- 3. In the course of thus repairing and enlarging the tunnel on said day defendant employed plaintiff as one of its workmen, and negligently put plaintiff to work in a portion of the tunnel which defendant had left unprotected and unsupported.
- 4. By reason of defendant's negligence in thus putting plaintiff to work in that portion of the tunnel, plaintiff was, while so working pursuant to defendant's orders, struck and crushed by a rock which fell from the unsupported portion of the tunnel, and was (here describe plaintiff's injuries).
- 5. Prior to these injuries, plaintiff was a strong, able-bodied man, capable of earning and actually earning \_\_\_\_\_\_ dollars per day. By these injuries he has been made incapable of any gainful activity, has suffered great physical and mental pain, and has incurred expense in the amount of dollars for medicine, medical attendance, and hospitalization.

Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant in the sum of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars and costs.

(15) Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

- 1. On or about June 1, \_\_\_\_\_, plaintiff issued to G. H. a policy of life insurance whereby plaintiff promised to pay to K. L. as beneficiary the sum of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars upon the death of G. H. The policy required the payment by G. H. of a stipulated premium on June 1, and annually thereafter as a condition precedent to its continuance in force.
- 2. No part of the premium due June 1, \_\_\_\_\_, was ever paid and the policy ceased to have any force of effect on July 1, \_\_\_\_\_.
- 3. Thereafter, on September 1, \_\_\_\_\_\_, G. H. and K. L. died as the result of a collision between a locomotive and the automobile in which G. H. and K. L. were riding.
- 4. Defendant C. D. is the duly appointed and acting executor of the will of G. H.; defendant E. F. is the duly appointed and acting executor of the will of K. L.; defendant X. Y. claims to have been duly designed as beneficiary of said policy in place of K. L.
- 5. Each of defendants, C. D., E. F., and X. Y. is claiming that the above-mentioned policy was in full force and effect at the time of the death of G. H.; each of them is claiming to be the only person entitled to receive payment of the amount of the policy and has made demand for payment thereof.
- 6. By reason of these conflicting claims of the defendants, plaintiff is in great doubt as to which defendant is entitled to be paid the amount of the policy, if it was in force at the death of G. H.

Wherefore plaintiff demands that the court adjudge:

- (1) That none of the defendants is entitled to recover from plaintiff the amount of said policy or any part thereof.
- (2) That each of the defendants be restrained from instituting any action against plaintiff for the recovery of the amount of said policy or any part thereof.
- (3) That, if the court shall determine that said policy was in force at the death of G. H., the defendants be required to interplead and settle between themselves their rights to the money due under said policy, and that plaintiff be discharged from all liability in the premises except to the person whom the court shall adjudge entitled to the amount of said policy.
  - (4) That plaintiff recover its costs.

(16) Averment of Capacity Under Rule 9(a).

(North Carolina Corporation)

Plaintiff is a corporation incorporated under the law of North Carolina having its principal office in [address].

(Foreign Corporation)

Plaintiff is a corporation incorporated under the law of the State of Delaware having [not having] a registered office in the State of North Carolina.

G.S. 1a-1. Rule 84 Page 4

## (Unincorporated Association)

Plaintiff is an unincorporated association organized under the law of the State of New York having its principal office in [address] and (if applicable) having a principal office in the State of North Carolina at [address], and as such has the capacity to sue in its own name in North Carolina. (1967, c. 954, s. 1; 1999-456, s. 59.)

G.S. 1a-1. Rule 84 Page 5