## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

#### **SESSION 1999**

S

1

#### SENATE BILL 345

Short Title: URO Reviews by NC Physicians.

Sponsors: Senators Forrester, Purcell; and Carpenter.

Referred to: Health Care.

# March 15, 1999

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO REQUIRE THAT RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL OF
3	UTILIZATION REVIEW NONCERTIFICATION BE EVALUATED BY
4	MEDICAL DOCTORS LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN THIS STATE.
5	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
6	Section 1. G.S. 58-50-61(d) reads as rewritten:
7	"(d) Program Operations. – In every utilization review program, an insurer or URO
8	shall use documented clinical review criteria that are based on sound clinical evidence
9	and that are periodically evaluated to assure ongoing efficacy. An insurer may develop its
10	own clinical review criteria or purchase or license clinical review criteria. Qualified
11	health care professionals shall administer the utilization review program and oversee
12	review decisions under the direction of a medical doctor. A medical doctor licensed to
13	practice medicine in this State shall evaluate the clinical appropriateness of
14	noncertifications. Compensation to persons involved in utilization review shall not
15	contain any direct or indirect incentives for them to make any particular review decisions.
16	Compensation to utilization reviewers shall not be directly or indirectly based on the
17	number or type of noncertifications they render. In issuing a utilization review decision,
18	an insurer shall: obtain all information required to make the decision, including pertinent
19	clinical information; employ a process to ensure that utilization reviewers apply clinical

(Public)

### GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

1999

review criteria consistently; and issue the decision in a timely manner pursuant to this
 section."

3

Section 2. G.S. 58-50-61(i) reads as rewritten:

"(i) Requests for Reconsideration. – An insurer may establish procedures for
informal reconsideration of noncertifications. The reconsideration shall be conducted
between the covered person's provider and a medical doctor <u>licensed to practice medicine</u>
<u>in this State</u> designated by the insurer. An insurer shall not require a covered person to
participate in an informal reconsideration before the covered person may appeal a
noncertification under subsection (j) of this section."

10

Section 3. G.S. 58-50-61(j) reads as rewritten:

11 "(j) Appeals of Noncertifications. – Every insurer shall have written procedures for 12 appeals of noncertifications by covered persons or their providers acting on their 13 behalves, including expedited review to address a situation where the time frames for the 14 standard review procedures set forth in this section would reasonably appear to seriously 15 jeopardize the life or health of a covered person or jeopardize the covered person's ability 16 to regain maximum function. Each appeal shall be evaluated by a medical doctor <u>licensed</u> 17 <u>to practice medicine in this State</u> who was not involved in the noncertification."

18

Section 4. G.S. 58-50-61(1) reads as rewritten:

Expedited Appeals. - An expedited appeal of a noncertification may be 19 "(1) 20 requested by a covered person or his or her provider acting on the covered person's behalf 21 only when a nonexpedited appeal would reasonably appear to seriously jeopardize the life or health of a covered person or jeopardize the covered person's ability to regain 22 23 maximum function. The insurer may require documentation of the medical justification 24 for the expedited appeal. The insurer shall, in consultation with a medical doctor, doctor licensed to practice medicine in this State, provide expedited review, and the insurer shall 25 communicate its decision in writing to the covered person and his or her provider as soon 26 27 as possible, but not later than four days after receiving the information justifying expedited review. The written decision shall contain the provisions specified in 28 29 subsection (k) of this section. If the expedited review is a concurrent review 30 determination, the insurer shall remain liable for the coverage of health care services until the covered person has been notified of the determination. An insurer is not required to 31 32 provide an expedited review for retrospective noncertifications."

33 Section 5. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies to utilization 34 reviews conducted on or after January 1, 2000.