GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA **SESSION 2011**

H

HOUSE BILL 727

Short Title:	Amend Dropout Prevention Grants.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Representatives Parmon, Hamilton, and Fisher (Primary Sponsors).	
	For a complete list of Sponsors, see Bill Information on the NCGA We	o Site.
Referred to:	Education.	

April 7, 2011

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO AMEND THE CRITERIA USED BY THE COMMITTEE ON DROPOUT
3	PREVENTION TO AWARD THE DROPOUT PREVENTION GRANTS, TO DIRECT
4	THE COMMITTEE ON DROPOUT PREVENTION TO USE EVALUATIONS FROM
5	PRIOR GRANT CYCLES TO IDENTIFY EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAMMATIC
6	ELEMENTS THAT ARE EFFECTIVE AND REPLICABLE, AND TO ALLOCATE
7	FUNDS TO STUDY HIGH SCHOOLS THAT HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED
8	THEIR DROPOUT RATE TO IDENTIFY PROGRAMS THAT MERIT REPLICATION
9	AND ASSESS THE PROGRESS OF PROGRAMS THAT ARE NO LONGER
10	RECEIVING DROPOUT PREVENTION GRANTS.
11	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
12	SECTION 1. Section 7.13(b)(3) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten:
13	"(3) Grants shall be distributed geographically throughout the State and
14	throughout the eight educational districts as defined in G.S. 115C-65. No
15	more than three grants shall be awarded in any one county under this section
16	in a single fiscal year. When grant applications are of equal quality and
17	merit, grants shall be given to applications from counties with no current
18	grant recipients."
19	SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten:
19 20	SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in
19 20 21	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than
19 20 21 22	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less
19 20 21 22 23	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior
19 20 21 22 23 24	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments."
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten:
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(11) Priority for grants shall be given to proposals demonstrating the potential for
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(11) Priority for grants shall be given to proposals demonstrating the potential for success.success and that contain multiple evidence-based programmatic
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(11) Priority for grants shall be given to proposals demonstrating the potential for success.success and that contain multiple evidence-based programmatic elements that have been shown to be effective and replicable. The
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(11) Priority for grants shall be given to proposals demonstrating the potential for success-success and that contain multiple evidence-based programmatic elements that have been shown to be effective and replicable. The Committee on Dropout Prevention shall use the evaluations from prior grant
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 	 SECTION 2. Section 7.13(b)(10) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(10) Priority for grants shall be given to programs that would serve students in local schools that have a four year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty-five percent (65%). either (i) a four-year cohort graduation rate of less than sixty percent (60%) in the most recent school year and one of two prior school years or (ii) a performance composite under fifty percent (50%). The Committee shall establish a grant rating cutoff score at such a level as to allow for consideration of all viable grants in this priority category. The Committee may require grantees to provide supplemental information in response to any prior reviewer comments." SECTION 3. Section 7.13(b)(11) of S.L. 2009-451 reads as rewritten: "(11) Priority for grants shall be given to proposals demonstrating the potential for success.success and that contain multiple evidence-based programmatic elements that have been shown to be effective and replicable. The



1

	General Assembly of North CarolinaSession 2011
1	SECTION 4. Section 7.13(f) of S.L. 2009-451 is amended by adding a new
2	subdivision to read:
3	"(5) Up to one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) for the 2011-2012 fiscal year
4	shall be used by the Committee on Dropout Prevention to conduct a
5	systematic study of high schools that have reduced their dropout rate
6	significantly over the past three years to identify programs that merit
7	replication. This study shall be designed and conducted under the direction
8	of the Committee on Dropout Prevention and shall include assessment of
9	successful programs in counties that have not received dropout prevention
10	grants and programs that received grants in previous years but are no longer
11	receiving dropout prevention grants. Such assessments shall be used for
12	comparison purposes with funded grants."
13	SECTION 5. This act becomes effective July 1, 2011.