GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

Η

HOUSE BILL 779

Short '	Title:	Electronic Recording/Custodial Interrogations.	(Public)
Spons	Sponsors: Representatives Glazier, Stam, Faircloth, and Hackney (Primary Sponsors		
~ P		For a complete list of Sponsors, see Bill Information on the NCGA We	ŕ
Referr	ed to:	Judiciary Subcommittee B.	
April 7, 2011			
		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
		O AMEND THE LAW REGARDING ELECTRONIC RECORD	DING OF
		IAL INTERROGATIONS.	
The G		Assembly of North Carolina enacts:	
		CCTION 1. G.S. 15A-211 reads as rewritten:	
		Electronic recording of interrogations.	
(a)		rpose. – The purpose of this Article is to require the creation of an	
		entire custodial interrogation in order to eliminate disputes about inter	
	thereby improving prosecution of the guilty while affording protection to the innocent and increasing court efficiency.		
		•	. 1. 1
(b)	-	oplication The provisions of this Article shall only apply to all	
	-	of juveniles in homicide criminal investigations conducted at any	-
		tention. The provisions of this Article shall also apply to any	
	-	of any person in a criminal investigation conducted at any place of deten	
		is related to any of the following crimes: any Class A, B1, or B2 felony	
		y of rape, sex offense, or assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill	inflicting
	<u>s injury.</u> De	_	
(c)		efinitions. – The following definitions apply in this Article:	and and a
	(1)	ë <u> </u>	
		accurate, unaltered record; or a visual recording that is an	aumenuc,
	(2)	accurate, unaltered record.	idaa a law
	(2)) In its entirety. – An uninterrupted record that begins with and inclu enforcement officer's advice to the person in custody of that	
		constitutional rights, ends when the interview has completely fini	
		clearly shows both the interrogator and the person in custody thro	
		the record is a visual recording, the The camera recording the	-
		interrogation must be placed so that the camera films both the in	
		and the suspect. Brief periods of recess, upon request by the	0
		custody or the law enforcement officer, do not constitute an "interr	-
		the record. The record will reflect the starting time of the reces	-
		resumption of the interrogation.	is and the

32 (3) Place of detention. - A jail, police or sheriff's station, correctional or
33 detention facility, holding facility for prisoners, or other facility where
34 persons are held in custody in connection with criminal charges.



General Assembly of North Carolina Session 2011 Electronic Recording of Interrogations Required. - Any law enforcement officer 1 (d) 2 conducting a custodial interrogation in a homicide an investigation of a juvenile shall make an 3 electronic recording of the interrogation in its entirety. Any law enforcement officer conducting 4 a custodial interrogation in an investigation relating to any of the following crimes shall make 5 an electronic recording of the interrogation in its entirety: any Class A, B1, or B2 felony; and any Class C felony of rape, sex offense, or assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill 6 7 inflicting serious injury. 8 Admissibility of Electronic Recordings. - During the prosecution of any homicide, (e) 9 an oral, written, nonverbal, or sign language statement of a defendant made in the course of a 10 custodial interrogation may be presented as evidence against the defendant if an electronic recording was made of the custodial interrogation in its entirety and the statement is otherwise 11 12 admissible. If the court finds that the defendant was subjected to a custodial interrogation that 13 was not electronically recorded in its entirety, any statements made by the defendant after that 14 non-electronically recorded custodial interrogation, even if made during an interrogation that is otherwise in compliance with this section, may be questioned with regard to the voluntariness 15 and reliability of the statement. The State may establish through clear and convincing evidence 16 17 that the statement was both voluntary and reliable and that law enforcement officers had good 18 cause for failing to electronically record the interrogation in its entirety. Good cause shall 19 include, but not be limited to, the following: 20 (1)The accused refused to have the interrogation electronically recorded, and 21 the refusal itself was electronically recorded. 22 The failure to electronically record an interrogation in its entirety was the (2)23 result of unforeseeable equipment failure, and obtaining replacement 24 equipment was not feasible. 25 Remedies for Compliance or Noncompliance. - All of the following remedies shall (f) 26 be granted as relief for compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this section: 27 Failure to comply with any of the requirements of this section shall be (1)28 considered by the court in adjudicating motions to suppress a statement of 29 the defendant made during or after a custodial interrogation. 30 (2) Failure to comply with any of the requirements of this section shall be 31 admissible in support of claims that the defendant's statement was 32 involuntary or is unreliable, provided the evidence is otherwise admissible. 33 When evidence of compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of (3) 34 this section has been presented at trial, the jury shall be instructed that it may 35 consider credible evidence of compliance or noncompliance to determine 36 whether the defendant's statement was voluntary and reliable. 37 (g) Article Does Not Preclude Admission of Certain Statements. - Nothing in this 38 Article precludes the admission of any of the following: 39 A statement made by the accused in open court during trial, before a grand (1)40 jury, or at a preliminary hearing. A spontaneous statement that is not made in response to a question. 41 (2)42 A statement made during arrest processing in response to a routine question. (3) 43 (4) A statement made during a custodial interrogation that is conducted in 44 another state by law enforcement officers of that state. 45 A statement obtained by a federal law enforcement officer. (5) 46 (6) A statement given at a time when the interrogators are unaware that the 47 person is suspected of a homicide. 48 (7)A statement used only for impeachment purposes and not as substantive 49 evidence. 50 (h) Destruction or Modification of Recording After Appeals Exhausted. - The State shall not destroy or alter any electronic recording of a custodial interrogation of a defendant 51

General Assembly of North Carolina

1 convicted of any offense related to the interrogation until one year after the completion of all

2 State and federal appeals of the conviction, including the exhaustion of any appeal of any

3 motion for appropriate relief or habeas corpus proceedings. Every electronic recording should

4 be clearly identified and catalogued by law enforcement personnel."

5 **SECTION 2.** This act becomes effective December 1, 2011, and applies to 6 interrogations occurring on or after that date.