GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2017

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

BILL NUMBER: Senate Bill 229 (First Edition)

SHORT TITLE: Protection for Former Government Officer.

SPONSOR(S): Senators Bishop and B. Jackson

FISCAL IMPACT (\$ in millions)							
	□Ye	s \Bullet No	No Estimat	e Available			
Г	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22		
State Impact							
General Fund Revenues:							
General Fund Expenditures							
State Positions:							
NET STATE IMPACT No estimate available. Please see Assumptions & Methodology section for additional details.							
PRINCIPAL DEPARTMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFECTED: Administrative Office of the Courts; Indigent Defense Services; Department of Public Safety							
EFFECTIVE DATE: 1	December 1, 2017						
TECHNICAL CONSII	DERATIONS:						

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY:

The bill expands the scope of existing Class F and Class I felony offenses related to threatening or assaulting current government officers by adding government officers for one year after they have left office. There is no data available about the number of assaults that may have occurred on government officers in the year after they left office. In FY 2015-16, there were only nine charges for threatening a government officer and no charges for assaulting a government officer. Given the narrow victim pool for these offenses, no significant impact is expected. The following costs may be incurred for every one person charged and convicted of this crime:

- Administrative Office of the Courts: \$455 to \$1,137 per disposition
- Indigent Defense Services: \$333 to \$569 per indigent defendant
- Department of Public Safety (DPS) Prisons: \$3,199 to \$7,259 per active sentence
- DPS Community Corrections: Minimum of \$1,332

Please see the Assumptions and Methodology section for additional information.

BILL SUMMARY:

The bill expands the scope of five existing felony offenses:

- G.S. 14-16.6(a) Assault on executive, legislative, or court officer (Class I felony)
- G.S. 14-16.6(b) Assault on executive, legislative, or court officer with a deadly weapon (Class F felony)
- G.S. 14-16.6(c) Assault on executive, legislative, or court officer inflicting serious bodily injury (Class F felony)
- G.S. 14-16.7(a) and (b) Threats against executive, legislative, or court officers (Class I felonies)

Currently these offenses apply to executive, legislative, and court officers from the time they are elected to officer to the time their term of office expires or they resign from officer. This bill expands the coverage of the offenses for a period of one year from either the date that the person's term of office expired or the date that the person resigned from officer, whichever is appropriate.

In FY 2015-16, there were nine charges for making threats against a government officer (G.S. 14-16.7(a) and (b). There were no convictions for these crimes in FY 2015-16. For the same time period, there were no charges or convictions for violations of G.S. 14-16.6(a), (b), or (c), Assaults on a government officer.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Therefore, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

S.L. 2011-192 (H.B. 642), the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), made changes to North Carolina's court system, corrections system (both to prisons and probation), and to post-release supervision. All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses.

JRA also created the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) for housing misdemeanants with sentences between 90 and 180 days in county jails (misdemeanants with shorter sentences were already the responsibility of the counties). County participation in the program is voluntary. The SMCP pays participating counties for misdemeanants' housing, transportation, and medical costs. In 2014, the program was expanded to include all misdemeanants with sentences longer than 90 days. The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission does not track county jail capacity, so it is not possible to estimate the impact of new or increased misdemeanor penalties on county jails.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. For such bills, fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in trials and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

The bill expands the scope of existing Class F and Class I felony offenses. There is no data available about the number of assaults that may have occurred on government officers in the year after they left office. In FY 2015-2016, there were only nine charges for threatening a government officer and no charges for

assaulting a government officer. Given the narrow victim pool for these offenses, no significant impact is expected.

AOC provides estimates of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class. For every additional person charged with a Class F felony, the average cost to the court would be \$1,137. For every additional person charged with a Class I felony, the average cost to the court would be \$455.

The Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) has provided Fiscal Research with the frequency and cost of indigent defense services for each level of crime, including the cost differentials for district and superior court with and without a trial and the percentage of cases handled in each category. Fiscal Research used this data to calculate a weighted average of IDS costs. In FY 2011-12, the most recent year data is available, 74% of Class F felony cases were handled through IDS. The weighted average cost of a new Class F felony is \$569 per case for a private appointed counsel (PAC) attorney. Sixty-eighty percent of Class I felony cases were handled through IDS with a weighted average cost of \$333. These estimates assume the appointment of a PAC attorney. In districts that have Public Defender offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, these costs may not be incurred.

Department of Public Safety - Prisons

The chart below depicts the projected inmate population relative to available prison bed capacity systemwide. Capacity projections assume operation at Expanded Operating Capacity, and represent the total number of beds in operation, or authorized for construction or operation as of December 2015.

Based on the most recent population projections and estimated bed capacity, there are surplus prison beds available for the five-year fiscal note horizon and beyond. Therefore, no additional beds will be required unless the projected number of additional inmates resulting from a bill (row four) exceeds the projected number of beds under the inmate population (row three).

Population Projections and Bed Capacity Five Year Impact						
	June 30 2018	June 30 2019	June 30 2020	June 30 2021	June 30 2022	
1. Inmates ²	37,304	37,601	37,367	37,385	37,642	
2. Prison Beds (Expanded Capacity)	38,373	38,373	38,373	38,373	38,373	
3. Beds Over/(Under) Inmate Population	1,069	772	1,006	988	731	
4. Additional Inmates Due to this Bill ³	No estimate available					
5. Additional Beds Required						

Senate Bill 229 (First Edition)

¹ Expanded Operating Capacity (EOC) is: 1) the number of single cells housing one inmate, 2) the number of single cells housing two inmates, and 3) the number of beds in dormitories, allowing between 35 (130% of Standard Operating Capacity) and 50 (SOC) square feet per inmate.

² The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares inmate population projections annually. These projections are derived from: historical information on incarceration and release rates under Structured Sentencing; crime rate forecasts by a technical advisory group; probation and offender revocation rates; and the decline (parole and max-outs) of the stock prison population sentenced under prior sentencing acts. Projections were updated in February 2016.

³ Criminal penalty bills effective December 1, 2016 should not affect prison population and bed needs until FY 2017-18 due to the lag time between offense charge and sentencing - six months on average. No delayed effect is presumed for the Court System.

Since the bill creates a new offense, the Sentencing Commission does not have any historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population. A threshold analysis is provided when it is not known how many offenders might be convicted and sentenced as a result of the proposed change. For each offense class, the threshold estimate is the number of convictions that result in the need for one prison bed in the first year.

In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 17 months. Nine months of post-release supervision is required upon release from prison following an active sentence or revocation of probation. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were two convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year. The five year estimate takes into account the combination of active sentences and probation and post-release supervision violations resulting in confinement, as well as growth rates adopted by the Sentencing Commission's Forecasting Technical Advisory Group.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Class F Felony						
Convictions	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
2 (Threshold)	1	2	2	2	2	
20	10	18	19	19	19	

In addition to the capital costs that may be associated with additional bed needs, there are also per diem costs for housing inmates. The cost to add one additional inmate to the prison system is \$15.02 per day, or \$457 per month, which includes the cost of food, clothing, and health care. In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences averaging 17 months. For every one Class F felony offender receiving an active sentence, the cost to the prison section will be \$7,259 (\$457 monthly cost times 17 months).

In FY 2015-16, 15% of Class I felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of seven months. Nine months of post-release supervision is required upon release from prison following an active sentence or revocation of probation. The following table shows the estimated annual bed impact if there were nine convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year. The five year estimate takes into account the combination of active sentences and probation and post-release supervision violations resulting in confinement, as well as growth rates adopted by the Sentencing Commission's Forecasting Technical Advisory Group.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Class I Felony						
Convictions	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
9 (Threshold)	1	2	2	2	2	
20	2	4	4	4	4	

Per diem costs for Class I felony offenders receiving an active sentence are estimated to be \$3,199 (\$457 monthly cost times seven months).

Department of Public Safety – Community Corrections

All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses. Additionally, for felony offense classes F through I offenders may be given non-active (intermediate or

community) sentences exclusively, or in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Sanctions include electronic house arrest, community service, substance abuse treatment, participation in educational or vocational skills development, payment of court costs, fines, and restitution, and short-term jail sentences not exceeding six days per month.

JRA essentially eliminated the distinction between "community" and "intermediate" supervision. Under structured sentencing, the two types of supervision were each defined by a set of specific sanctions. Under JRA, both community and intermediate probation may now include electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

All types of post-release supervision are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS); CCS also oversees community service. Supervision by a probation officer costs \$148 per offender, per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision and supervised probations.

In FY 2015-16, 50% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences. All active sentences for Class F through I felonies result in nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 32 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one Class F felony conviction will require at least nine months of supervision. The cost of nine months of supervision is \$1,332 per offender (\$148 per month times nine months). For every offender sentenced to probation, the average cost would be \$4,736 (\$148 per month times 32 months).

In FY 2015-16, 15% of Class I felony offenders received active sentences. All active sentences for Class F through I felonies result in nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 23 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one Class I felony conviction will require at least nine months of supervision. The cost of nine months of supervision is \$1,332 per offender (\$148 per month times nine months). For every offender sentenced to probation, the average cost would be \$3,404 (\$148 per month times 23 months).

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Kristine Leggett

APPROVED BY:

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division

DATE: March 22, 2017

Official
Fiscal Research Division
Publication

⁴ Due to the effective date of December 1, 2017 and the typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months), little impact is assumed for CCS in FY 2017-18. Though some offenders may come under CCS supervision during this time, this note assumes an even entry over the course of FY 2018-19.

Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices